

PTO/SB/07 08-00
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

GROUP 1700

JUL 28 2003

FAX RECEIVED

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the
United States Patent and Trademark Office: Fax #703-872-9311.

on 07/25/03
Date


Signature

Deborah J. Mouzon
Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate
must identify each submitted paper.

Attorney Docket # 1920
In re Application: Merrette, Michele M. et al.
Application Number: 09/804,791 13 March 2001

Group Art 1731
Examiner: Chin, Peter

For: STARCHES FOR USE IN PAPERMAKING

Enclosed are: Amendment Reply - 7 pages

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231

Expedited Proceeding under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
Examining Group 1731


PATENT APPLICATION
Attorney Docket No. 1920

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: MERRETTE, Michele M. *et al.*
SERIAL NO.: 09/804 791 **GROUP ART UNIT:** 1731
FILED: 13 March 2001 **EXAMINER:** CHIN, Peter
ENTITLED: STARCHES FOR USE IN PAPERMAKING

CERTIFICATE of FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark to facsimile number (703) 305-3599 on **25 July 2003**.


Deborah Mouzon

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Dear Sir:

This Reply is in response to the Advisory Action of 8 July 2003. In reply to that outstanding Action, Applicants submit the following Remarks –

AMENDMENTS

In the Claims:

1. (Canceled)
2. (Previously amended) The degraded, inhibited, cationic starch of claim 19 wherein the final peak viscosity of said starch is about 110 to about 1000 percent of a non-inhibited degraded cationized starch viscosity.
3. (Previously amended) The degraded, inhibited, cationic starch of claim 19 wherein the starch is chosen from the group consisting of corn, tapioca, potato and sago and their waxy and high amylose versions thereof.
4. (Previously amended) The degraded, inhibited, cationic starch of claim 19 wherein the starch is inhibited chemically.
5. (Previously amended) The degraded, inhibited, cationic starch of claim 4 wherein the starch is inhibited with epichlorohydrin.
6. (Previously amended) The degraded, inhibited, cationic starch of claim 19 wherein the starch is inhibited thermally.
7. (Previously amended) The degraded, inhibited, cationic starch of claim 19 wherein the degraded starch has a WF of about 15 to 85.
8. (Previously amended) The degraded, inhibited, cationic starch of claim 7 wherein the degraded starch has a WF of about 20 to 70.